

UNIVERSIDADE DA BEIRA INTERIOR

Notice – Faculty Position in Philosophy - António Carreto Fidalgo, Full Professor and Rector of the University of Beira Interior, makes it known that, for a period of thirty business days from the day following that on which this Notice is published in *Diário da República*, an international competition, based on academic qualifications, is open for recruitment in the form of an employment contract of indefinite duration in public functions, to fill one post of full professor in the subject area of Mechanical Engineering, becoming part of the teaching staff of the University of Beira Interior, under the applicable law.

The evaluation of the trial period, if applicable, is made under the Regulation of Academic Career Competitions and Employment.

This competition is open in compliance with Articles 37 to 51 and 62-A of the University Teaching Career By-laws approved by Decree-Law No. 448/79 of 13 November, republished by Decree-Law No. 205/2009 of 31 August, and amended by Law No. 8/2010 of 13 May, hereinafter referred to as ECDU, and other applicable legislation, including the Regulation of Academic Career Competitions and Employment of the University of Beira Interior, hereinafter referred to as Regulation, republished with reference to *Diário da República*, 2.^a série, n.º 36, 20/02/2014, attachment republished in *Diário da República*, 2.^a Série, n.º 120, of 25/06/2018, Rector's Orders and Vice-Rector's Orders n. 2020/R/44, 19/6, and n.º 2021/R/4, 19/01, in the sequence of the declaration mentioned in n.º 5 article 24.º law n.º 80/2013 of 28/11, is concluded when the post is filled and shall comply with the following provisions:

1 – Place of work

1.1 - The faculty member to be hired will perform his/her duties at the University of Beira Interior.

1.2 – The academic functions to perform within the subject areas in which the competition is open assume that the corresponding research activities ought to be conducted at a research unit\delegation with financial autonomy, based in UBI or in an Associated laboratory where it participates.

2 - Admission requirements

2.1 - In compliance with the provisions of Articles 37, 38 and 40 of the ECDU, the following are eligibility criteria for applying:

- a) To hold a PhD degree for more than five years to the area Mechanical Engineering;
- b) To hold the title of “*Agregado*” (similar to ‘Habilitation’) in a field of knowledge regarded, Mechanical Engineering.

2.2 - If the doctoral degree was awarded by a foreign higher education institution, it must be recognized in Portugal, in accordance with the applicable legislation, by the deadline of application.

3 - Submission of applications (time, place and manner)

3.1 - Applications must be submitted by 17h30 of the 30th business day counted from the date of publication of this Notice in the Series II of *Diário da República*, electronically in a non-editable PDF (Portable Document Format) through the platform made available for this purpose on the website of the University of Beira Interior at <http://www.academicos.ubi.pt/Pagina/recrutamento>, or in paper format at the Serviços Académicos, Rectory, Universidade da Beira Interior, Setor de 3º Ciclo, Concursos de Docentes e Atos Académicos, located in *Convento de Santo António, 6200-001, Covilhã*, either in person, during office hours, weekdays from 9h00 to 12h30 and 14h00 to 17h30 or by registered post, by the deadline of application.

3.2 – Applications sent by e-mail will not be accepted.

4 - Composition of the jury

The jury shall operate in compliance with the provisions of Articles 50 and 51 of the ECDU and of this Notice. In compliance with Article 46 of the ECDU, and paragraph 2 of Article 6 of the Regulation, the jury will have the following composition:

President - Vice-Rector, Mário Marques Freire, by delegation.

Members:

- Paulo Jorge dos Santos Pimentel Oliveira, full professor at Universidade da Beira Interior;
- Albano Augusto Cavaleiro Rodrigues de Carvalho, full professor at Universidade de Coimbra;
- José Carlos Fernandes Teixeira, full professor at Universidade do Minho;
- José Alberto Cadete Ambrósio, full professor at Universidade de Lisboa;
- António João Marques Cardoso, full professor at Universidade da Beira Interior;
- Pedro Manuel Ponces Rodrigues de Castro Camanho, full professor at Universidade do Porto;
- Fernando Manuel Coutinho Tavares de Pinho, full professor at Universidade do Porto;
- Pedro Jorge Martins Coelho, full professor at Universidade de Lisboa;
- Vítor António Ferreira da Costa, full professor at Universidade de Aveiro.

5 - Methodology and criteria for selection and ranking:

5.1 - This competition is intended to ascertain the candidates' capacity and performance concerning the different aspects that constitute the set of duties to be performed, in accordance with Article 4 of the ECDU, as a result of their recruitment in case they are hired. According to the aforementioned Article, faculty members are required to:

- a) Carry out scientific research, cultural creation and technological development activities;
- b) Carry out the teaching duties assigned to them and guide and supervise students;
- c) Participate in activities related to university representation, scientific dissemination and economic and social enhancement of knowledge;
- d) Participate in the management of the respective university institutions;
- e) Carry out other duties assigned by the competent bodies in the scope of the regular activities of a faculty member.

5.2 - The selection will be made through the use of the curriculum evaluation, meaning that the selection shall be determined by the scientific and teaching capacity of each candidate, as evidenced by the concrete achievements expressed in the supporting documents.

5.3 - Regarding the faculty members' general and specific duties, under Articles 4 and 5 of the ECDU, respectively, the weighting of the evaluation criteria and the parameters to be evaluated in the curriculum will be quantified according to the Regulation. Thus, the jury's assessment will focus on the following items:

- a) The candidate's scientific performance, based on the analysis of the works listed in the curriculum, particularly those that the candidate has selected as the most representative, taking into account their contribution to the development and evolution of the subject or subject area;
- b) The candidate's teaching capacity, having particular regard to the analysis of his/her previous teaching practice, where applicable;
- c) Other activities relevant to the University's mission that the candidate may have carried out;
- d) Academic project that the applicant proposes to implement in the discipline or subject area for which the competition is open;

5.4 - Scientific Performance - Weighting 45%

The scientific performance evaluation includes the fields of scientific research, cultural creation or technological development and notably comprises the following assessment parameters and corresponding densification:

- a) Scientific, cultural or technological production and its relevance, as measured by internationally accepted standards:

Patents, books, book chapters, papers in scientific journals indexed in the ISI Web of Knowledge database, papers in scientific journals indexed in the SCOPUS database, other scientific papers indexed in international databases specific to the subjects area, in proceedings of international conferences, taking into account the nature, the impact

factor and the number of citations, and whether the candidate holds the title of “Agregado”;

b) Coordination of and participation in scientific, cultural, and technological development projects:

Participation in and/or coordination of scientific projects subject to competitive tendering, taking into account the classification assigned by the funding entity and the amount of funding or other benefits conferred on the institution;

c) Recognition by the scientific community:

Scientific merit awards, editorial work in scientific journals, participation in bodies of reviewers of scientific journals, coordination of and/or participation in programme committees of scientific meetings, evaluation activities in scientific projects, invited lectures at scientific meetings.

5.5 - Teaching Performance - Weighting 35%

The evaluation of the teaching performance notably comprises the following assessment parameters and corresponding densification:

Teaching activity (amount of hours taught, amount of different course units and amount of students):

a) Amount of course units coordinated and taught by the faculty member, taking into account the amount of hours taught, the diversity of subjects taught, the amount of students and the analysis of the candidate’s teaching practice;

b) Production of teaching material and its relevance:

Textbooks with ISBN and other educational texts, taking into account their impact on the national and international community;

c) Innovation and development relevant to teaching:

Capacity demonstrated by the faculty member to promote new educational initiatives. For instance: (i) duly approved proposals for new course units or for the redesign of existing ones, (ii) creation or strengthening of laboratory infrastructure of experimental and/or computer support to teaching (where applicable), (iii) creation or restructuring of groups of course units or curricula, and (iv) participation in teacher training courses;

d) Monitoring and supervision of master and doctoral students:

Supervision of doctoral and master students, considering the quality, especially distinguishing the awards and international recognition through the publication of papers in international journals with peer review indexed in international databases, participation in juries of public hearings in other higher education institutions;

e) Participation in educational projects in other institutions:

Relevant academic work performed within the subject area under consideration, at the invitation of other higher education institutions.

5.6 - Other activities relevant to the University's mission - Weighting 20%

Assessment of other activities relevant to the mission of the institution, taking into account:

5.6.1 – University Administration that includes the areas of university management and coordination and notably comprises the following parameters and corresponding densification:

- a) Positions in bodies in higher education institutions and their Organic Units;
- b) Participation in organic subunits of higher education institutions and coordination of study cycles;
- c) Temporary positions and assignments:

Participation in temporary positions and duties that were assigned by the relevant bodies, taking into account their nature, the scope of action and the period in which they were carried out, notably the participation in selection juries and the consideration of reports related to the ECDU and their evaluation Transfer of Knowledge and Technology, which includes the areas of university representation, scientific dissemination, and economic and social enhancement of knowledge and notably consists of the following assessment parameters and corresponding densification:

5.6.2 - Transfer of Knowledge and Technology, which includes the areas of university representation, scientific dissemination, and economic and social enhancement of knowledge and notably consists of the following assessment parameters and corresponding densification:

- a) Knowledge enhancement and transfer, including authoring and co-authoring of patents:

Authoring and co-authoring of patents transferred to businesses, taking into account their nature, territorial coverage and technological level; participation in activities involving the public and private sectors, taking into account the type of participation, the amounts of funding, the social impact, the technological intensity and the innovation, and the diversity;

- b) Scientific, cultural or technological dissemination activities:

Participation in and coordination of scientific and technological dissemination initiatives to the scientific community (e.g., organisation of congresses and conferences), the media, businesses and general public, taking into account their nature and the results achieved;

- c) Scientific, cultural or technological publications:

Authoring and co-authoring of technical publications of scientific and technological dissemination; participation in the development of technical standards, taking into account the territorial coverage.

d) Vocational training, directed outwards:

Participation and coordination of courses aimed at the private and public sector, taking into account the relevance of the course.

5.7 – Academic project that the applicant intends to operate in the discipline or subject area for which the competition is open - Weighting to be considered in paragraphs 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6:

Design scientific, pedagogical and transfer of knowledge and technology domains that applicant proposes that highlight its innovative contribution to the subject area in which the competition is open and its contribution to the University's mission.

5.8 - Assessment of applications

5.8.1 – The jury, before the meeting for approval or rejection on absolute merit, holds a preparatory meeting with the purpose of defining the minimum reference values for approval on absolute merit for one or more parameters of the scientific performance criterion, to discuss the weighting factors referring to the parameters of the various criteria and, finally, to decide about a public hearing of candidates, under the terms of paragraph b) of number 4 of article 50 of the ECDU.

5.8.2 - At the second meeting, the jury proceeds to the global assessment of the curriculum vitae of each of the candidates and will decide on their approval or rejection in absolute merit, by justified nominal vote where abstentions are not allowed.

5.8.3 - A candidate who receives the favourable votes of at least half plus one of the voting members of the jury is considered approved on absolute merit.

5.8.4 - A vote is considered in favour of a candidate's absolute merit approval when from the accompanying written reasoning clearly results that, based on a qualitative analysis of the supporting documents reported to the subject area in which this competition was opened, the candidate's capacity and performance are considered minimally adequate to perform the duties of Full Professor either scientifically, or in relation to other activities taken as relevant to the mission of the University of Beira Interior.

5.8.5 - When a vote is not in favour of a candidate's absolute merit approval, it must be based on one or more of the following circumstances:

a) The branch of knowledge or expertise in which the degree of doctor was conferred upon the candidate is not considered as suitable for the minimally adequate performance of teaching functions in the subject area in which the competition was opened and such lack is not considered as compensated for by any other training held by the candidate.

b) The candidate's curriculum vitae, in the part regarding the different parameters of the scientific performance criterion as defined in the preparatory meeting mentioned in

point 5.8.1, the jury understands that, if the minimum values of these parameters are not reached, the candidate will not bring together the capacity and performance necessary for an exercise, minimally adequate, of the functions of full professor, implying his/her rejection.

5.8.6 – In case that, according to the aforementioned reasons, there are candidates who do not obtain absolute merit approval, the jury proceeds to their preliminary hearing in compliance with Article 121 and following Articles of the Code of Administrative Procedure, granting them a period of 10 days to present a written statement refuting the grounds for their rejection.

5.8.7 – After having examined the allegations of the candidates excluded in absolute merit, the jury deliberates in maintaining or in reviewing the decision in agreement with the candidates. Once the absolute merit approved candidates have been finally identified, each member of the jury will rank them on their relative merit, through the assessment of their merit for each criterion, parameter and weighting factor listed in this Notice and then proceeds to the candidates' final valuation and ranking, as follows:

- a) Calculation of the candidates' classification in each criterion, taking into account the criterion-specific evaluation parameters and the duly justified reference standards;
- b) Calculation of the candidates' final classification through the combination of the classification with the weighting assigned to each criterion;
- c) Drawing up of a ranking list of candidates, in which ex-aequo classifications are not allowed, based on which the individual and justified voting takes place that leads to the candidates' final ranking, in compliance with paragraph 5.10.1 of this Notice;
- d) In case there is a draw between candidates during the compilation of the aforementioned list, preferential parameters may be used;
- e) At the first meeting, the jury may decide which preferential parameters each member can resort to for drawing up the respective ranking list of candidates in situations where the application of the criteria results in a draw between candidates;
- f) The candidates' final classification is given on a numerical scale from 0 to 100.

5.9 – Ranking

5.9.1 – When ranking the candidates in competitions for faculty member recruitment, each member of the jury will place the candidates in descending order of scores.

5.9.2 - The jury's decision is taken by simple majority, i.e., half plus one of the votes of the members present at the meeting. For this purpose, before the voting takes place, each member of the jury presents a written document that will be annexed to the minutes, with a full reasoned ranking of the candidates, taking into account the criteria of the preceding paragraphs. In each voting, each member of the jury shall abide by the

order presented in the aforementioned document, and the following provisions are to be observed:

- a) The first voting is intended to determine the candidate placed first, by counting the number of votes each candidate has for 1st place;
- b) A candidate who obtains an absolute majority of votes for 1st place wins the competition and is removed from the list, giving way to another voting to select the candidate who will take the 2nd place;
- c) If no candidate obtains an absolute majority of votes for 1st place, a new voting takes place only among the candidates who obtained votes for 1st place, after the least voted candidate for the same place in the previous voting has been removed from the list;
- d) In case of a draw between two or more candidates in the least voted position, a new voting takes place only between them, by counting the number of first relative positions of each one, with the least voted being removed from the list;
- e) If a draw remains between two or more candidates in the least voted position, but the number of candidates tied in the least voted position has been reduced in relation to the previous round, a new voting will take place only between the candidates tied in the least voted position, by counting the number of first relative positions of each one, with the least voted being removed;
- f) If a draw remains between two or more candidates in the least voted position, without the number of candidates tied in the least voted position having been reduced in relation to previous voting, the draw is broken by the casting vote of the President of the jury or by holding another voting, as appropriate, with the candidate voted by the President being chosen to join the subsequent voting for the same place;
- g) If there is a draw when only two candidates remain for the 1st place, the draw is broken by the casting vote of the President of the jury or by another voting, as appropriate;
- h) After the candidate for the 1st place has been selected, he/she leaves the voting and then starts the procedure to select the candidate for the 2nd place, the whole process referred to in the preceding paragraphs is repeated for the subsequent places until a single ranking list of all the candidates is obtained.

5.10 – Candidates' final ranking and notification

5.10.1 - The final ranking of absolute merit approved candidates results from the ranking criteria defined in paragraph 5.9.

5.10.2 - The final ranking list of candidates is unitary and will be posted in the department of the relevant subject area and in the Rectory, Academic Competitions and Acts Sector.

5.10.3 - The final ranking list of candidates is notified to the candidates to allow for the hearing of the parties concerned according to which the candidates may state their reasons in writing, for a period of no less than ten working days, in compliance with Article 121 and following Articles of the Code of Administrative Procedure.

5.10.4 - The notification includes the final ranking list and the reasons of the jury, also indicating the time and place where the procedure may be consulted.

5.10.5 – After the hearing of the parties concerned has been held, the jury assesses the issues raised within ten working days and approves the final ranking list of candidates, to be subject to the Rector's approval.

5.11 – Recruitment

5.11.1 - After the final ranking list has been approved and the candidates have been informed of it, the recruitment takes place in accordance with the University Teaching Career Statute.

5.11.2 - The candidate(s) positioned in place(s) of the final ranking unitary list that qualifies(y) them to fill the post(s) must submit at the University of Beira Interior, as follows from the declaration on true statement, the supporting documents that make proof of the fact that they fulfil the legal requirements for the establishment of an employment contract of indefinite duration in public functions with the former, in compliance with the provisions of the Code of Administrative Procedure, within a non-extendable period of 10 working days from the date of notification of approval of the final ranking unitary list.

5.11.3 – There cannot be recruited candidates who, despite being approved and ranked in the final unitary list, fall under the following situations:

- a) Refuse recruitment;
- b) Produce inadequate, false or invalid documents that fail to demonstrate the necessary conditions for the establishment of a public employment legal relationship;
- c) Submit the required documents out of time;
- d) Fail to attend the award of the contract or its acceptance, within the statutory period, for reasons for which they are responsible.

5.11.4 – The candidates who fall under the conditions referred to in the preceding paragraph are removed from the final ranking list.

6 - Public Hearings and supplementary documentation

6.1 – At the first meeting, the jury will deliberate on the need to hold public hearings for the candidates who obtain absolute merit approval, with the exclusive aim of clarifying the information contained in the candidates' Curriculum Vitae.

6.2 - If it is necessary to hold these public hearings, they will take place between the 30th and the 70th day as of the deadline of applications, and all the candidates will be

informed, at least 5 days in advance, of when and where these public hearings will take place.

6.3 - Also in order to better clarify what is listed in the CV presented by a given candidate, the Jury may decide to request candidates for additional documents, in compliance with subparagraph a) of paragraph 4 of Article 50 of the ECDU.

7 – Supporting documents

7.1 - Those interested must request admission to the competition, through a request/form, namely stating the full name, filiation, number and expiration date of the legally accepted identification document and date of birth (documentary evidence required), place of birth, marital status, occupation, residence, or contact address, including email address and telephone number; a declaration stating that all documents (and elements listed in them) comprising the application are true must also be enclosed.

7.2 – Candidates are given the possibility to submit the application for admission to the competition and the other elements required in the competition, in digital format, in non-editable PDF format, the pieces of which must be duly identified autonomous files, through the respective access and registration on the electronic platform for submission of the application, made available for this purpose on the website of the University of Beira Interior at <http://www.academicos.ubi.pt/Pagina/recrutamento>, selecting the contest to which they intend to apply.

The application for admission to the competition, to be submitted in a non-editable PDF format, must be digitally signed using the Citizen Card or Digital Mobile Key and instructed with:

- a) Documents supporting the fulfilment of conditions laid down in paragraph 2 of this Notice;
- b) A copy of the candidate's curriculum vitae, duly structured according to the criteria and parameters set out in paragraphs 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 of this Notice under penalty of exclusion, which must include an indication of the five most relevant works, as well as a brief justification description of the candidate's contribution, namely with regard to the contribution to the evolution of the disciplinary area (s) in which the competition is opened;
- c) A copy of the works mentioned in the curriculum vitae, where other digital formats appropriate to the competition area may be considered;
- d) A copy of the Project referred to in subparagraph d) of paragraph 5.3;
- e) Criminal record certificate, showing evidence of the candidate being neither inhibited from holding public office nor forbidden to perform the duties that he/she is applying for;

- f) A self-declaration by the candidate stating to have the physical strength and psychological profile to perform the essential job functions that he/she is applying for;
- g) Proof of mandatory vaccination (anti-tetanus)

7.3 - Candidates who prefer to submit the application in paper format, must submit the application for admission to the competition instructed with a copy of the documents mentioned in each paragraph of paragraph 7.2 of this Notice, with the exception of subparagraphs b) and d) in which they will have to submit twelve copies of the Curriculum Vitae and twelve copies of the Project referred to in subparagraph d) of paragraph 5.3.

7.4 - It is not required to show evidence of compliance with the general requirements for the provision of public functions referred to in subparagraphs e) to g) of paragraph 7.2, simply a declaration by the candidate on true statement, made either on the actual request or on a separate document, stating the accurate situation he/she is in relation to the content of each one. The declaration (s) referring to the elements of subparagraphs e), f) and g), which are submitted in non-editable PDF format, must be digitally signed using the Citizen Card or Digital Mobile Key, if the respective option of declaration under honor is not marked in the application.

7.5 - The documents referred to in paragraph 7.2 may be written in Portuguese or English, and the documents mentioned in subparagraph d) of paragraph 7.2 must be delivered in the language of the original wording.

7.6 - Instructions, appropriate forms and support files for the submission of applications are available on the Internet at: <http://www.academicos.ubi.pt> - Faculty member recruitment section.

7.7 - Formal assessment of applications

7.7.1 – Candidates who fail to deliver all documents required under paragraph 7.2 of this Notice, by the deadline of application, concerning the place and manner laid out in paragraph 3 of this Notice, will be immediately disqualified.

7.7.2 – Following the verification that the applications comply with the requirements specified in this Notice, the Rector shall inform the candidates, within five business days after the deadline of application, of the order of admission or non-admission to the competition, which will be based on whether they comply with the conditions laid out herein.

7.7.3 - The disqualified candidates are excluded and notified to an hearing to state their reasons in writing, within ten working days from the notification and according to its form, in compliance with the Code of Administrative Procedure.

7.7.4 – After the hearing of the candidates, the president of the jury deliberates on the issues raised, within ten working days.

7.7.5 – Notwithstanding their approval and inclusion in the final ranking unitary list of candidates, candidates will be excluded if:

a) when required, in compliance with paragraph 5.11.2, they fail to submit the supporting documents that make proof of the fact that they fulfil the legal requirements for the establishment of an employment contract of indefinite duration in public functions with the University of Beira Interior, or unjustifiably fail to meet the deadline for their submission, or when submitted, the documents prove to be inadequate, false or invalid.

b) at any moment of the competition they are found in violation of academic integrity.

7.7.6 – When a candidate is excluded by order of the Rector of the University of Beira Interior, based on the grounds referred to in the preceding paragraph, the candidate who is ranked immediately after the disqualified candidate in the final ranking unitary list will be required to submit the supporting document that makes proof of the fact that they fulfil the conditions legally required to establish an employment contract of indefinite duration in public functions with the University of Beira Interior.

7.7.7 - The hearing of the candidates who are disqualified, according to the provisions laid down in paragraph 7.7.5., will be held and the candidates will be granted a period of ten days to refute the grounds for their disqualification.

8 - The court of the region, in which the Universidade da Beira Interior is located, for the full competences to resolve any first instance conflicts that may arise from this public notice, taken for excluding any other similar courts of law.

9 – In compliance with the joint order No. 373/2000, of 1 March, published in the Diário da República, Series II, No. 77, of 31 March 2000, it is stated for the record that: "In compliance with paragraph h) of Article 9 of the Constitution, the Public Administration, as employing entity, actively promotes a policy of equal opportunity between men and women in access to employment and professional development, scrupulously providing to avoid any form of discrimination ".

19/1/2021.- The Rector, António Carreto Fidalgo.